Appl. No. 09/841,965 Response to Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Page 1 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE MAY 3 0 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I certify that this correspondence is being: [] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in

an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

[x] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-

Valerie Watkins

Appl. No.

: 09/841.965

Applicant(s)

Markus BAUMEISTER et al.

Filed

25 April 2001

TC/A.U.

: 2132

Examiner

Samson B. LEMMA

Atty. Docket

: DE-000071

Title:

METHOD OF DYNAMIC DETERMINATION OF

ACCESS RIGHTS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

8300

22313-1450.

On: 30 May 2006

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In response to the "Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief" (the "Notice") dated 4 May 2006 and attached hereto, supplemental to the Appeal Brief filed on 17 August 2005 and in support of the Notice of Appeal filed on 19 July 2005, Applicants hereby submit this Supplemental Appeal Brief including the attached two totally blank Appendices entitled "Evidence Appendix" and "Related Proceedings Appendix."

The undersigned attorney notes that 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 only requires "an appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted," and "an appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii)," and further notes that the section headings in 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 are only recommended headings ("the brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings"). Therefore, the undersigned attorney also respectfully submits that a totally blank line is a completely appropriate heading

Appl. No. 09/841,965 Response to Non-Compliant Appeal Briof

Page 2 of 4

for a totally blank appendix, and therefore the Appeal Brief as filed was compliant with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37. However, in order to advance early consideration of Applicants' Appeal Brief and without admitting any deficiency therein, Applicants attach hereto two totally blank sheets of paper labeled respectively, "Evidence Appendix" and "Related Proceedings Appendix."

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future filings to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0238 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16, 37 C.F.R. § 1.17, or 37 C.F.R. § 41.20, particularly extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C.

Date: 30 May 2006

Kenneth D. Springer Registration No. 39,843

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C. One Freedom Square 11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260 Reston, Virginia 20190

Telephone No.: (571) 283-0724 Facsimile No.: (571) 283-0740

Appl. No. 09/841,965 Supplemental Appeal Brief

Evidence Appendix

{None}

Appl. No. 09/841,965 Supplemental Appeal Brief

Related Proceedings Appendix

{None}



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,965	04/25/2001	Markus Baumeister	DE000071	6068	
24737 75	24737 7390 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			LEMMA, SAMSON B		
	IFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	Paper Number	
•			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

APPLICATION NOJ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
	ı		EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				04272006	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Appeal Brief filed on August 17, 2005 by the appellants is missing some sections. Please refer to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief form (37 CFR 41.37) that is attached.

GILBERTO BARRON JO.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)

MAY.30'2006 11:10 5712830740 Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		VOLENTINE FRANCOS WHITT 09/841.965		#3723 P.007 JUMEISTER ET AL.				
		Examinor	Art Unit					
		Samson B. Lemma	2132					
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence	eddress-				
The Appeal Brief filed on 17 August 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY.DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.								
1. 🛭	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🗀	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendix	thereto (37 CFI	R				
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗖	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).							
10.🔯	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):							
	It is found necessary that the appellants submits a sin 37 CFR § 41,37(C) for the following reasons: The Appeal Brief reveals that the following sections (1) "Evidence Appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41 (2) "Related Proceedings Appendix," as set forth in 3 A supplemental Appeal brief that is in compliance with Board's new rules see the web page entitled "Mo Rule" at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/ppal	ere missing: 1.37 (c) (1) (ix), 7 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x), 1h 37 CFR § 41.37(c) is therefore rec tre information on (he Rules of Practi	ruired, For more in	iformation on				